

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,016	TURNASELLA, THEODORE	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	SUSAN Y. CHEN	2161	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) SUSAN Y. CHEN. (3) _____.

(2) Daniel P. Burke. (4) _____.

Date of interview: 29 July 2009.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: AAPA.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant representative briefly discussed a proposed amendment and argued that the prior art on record does not disclose the transferring of verified data from a "wage information unconfirmed" table to a "wage information" table; the examiner indicated that she will reconsider the proposed amendment when the novelties of the proposed amendment is verified and accept the authorization from the applicant to make examiner amendment.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.